- •
- •
- •
- •
- •

More Classes



C++ Object Oriented Programming Pei-yih Ting NTOU CS

Contents

- Object composition and constructors
- Initialization of object within object
- Returning pointers
- ♦ this pointer
- Exploiting implicit references
- ♦ Class conversion
- ♦ Static data members
- ♦ Static member functions

Object Component

- Sometimes you would like to use a well designed object as a component to help accomplishing the task
- ♦ In that case, we have an object within another object

```
class SaleDept {
public:
    SaleDept(const char *manager,
        const char *clerk);
    void listMembers() const;
private:
    Person m_manager;
    Person m_clerk;
};
```

```
void main() {
  SaleDept *saleDept;
  saleDept =
    new SaleDept("Jamie", "Paul");
  myRoom->listMembers();
  delete saleDept;
SaleDept::SaleDept(
         const char *managerName,
         const char *clerkName) {
error C2512: 'Person' :
             no appropriate default
```

constructor available

Solving The Initialization Problem

♦ First try: illegal syntax, calling Person ctor within SaleDept ctor, i.e.

SaleDept::SaleDept(const char *managerName, const char *clerkName) {
 m_manager(managerName);
 m_clerk(clerkName);

 Second try: not a good one, require default ctor, extra CPU time, depending on some uncertain factors

SaleDept::SaleDept(const char *managerName, const char *clerkName) {
 m_manager = Person(managerName);
 m_clerk = Person(clerkName);

♦ Third try: a safe and syntactically legal solution, but undesirable

class Person {
 ...
 Person(); // empty ctor
 void setName(const char *name);
}

}

Correct solution: using initialization list

SaleDept::SaleDept(const char *managerName, const char *clerkName)

: m_manager(managerName), m_clerk(clerkName) {

Returning Pointers


```
class Person {
  public:
    Person(const char *name);
    ~Person();
    char *getName() const;
  private:
    char *m_name;
 };
```

```
 Why? Consider the following code: looks OK
```

void SaleDept::listMembers() const {
 cout << m_manager.getName() << '' is the manager of the sale department and ''
 << m_clerk.getName() << '' is the clerk.\n'';</pre>

```
♦ What would happen if it were written like this
```

void SaleDept::listMembers() const {
 char *tempString = m_manager.getName();
 the private data of Person class
 tempString[0] = '#';
 cout << tempString << '' is the manager of the sale department and ''
 << m_clerk.getName() << '' is the clerk.\n'';</pre>

Solution to Data Encapsulation Problem

Simple solution provided by the grammar to prevent incidental breaking of the encapsulation

```
class Person {
  public:
    Person(const char *name);
    ~Person();
    const char *getName() const;
  private:
    char *m_name;
};
```

const char *Person::getName() const {
 return m_name;

unintentional

Won't be able to mutate the content of m_name within this member function

void SaleDept::listMembers() const {
 const char *tempString = m_manager.getName();
 // tempString[0] = '#'; // compiler rejects this statement
 cout << tempString << '' is the manager of the sale department and ''
 << m_clerk.getName() << '' is the clerk.\n'';</pre>

♦ Other solutions? use a string object

this pointer

 In the first C++ translator, by Stroustrup, C++ functions is translated to pure C functions. How can a function access some variables (those member variables) not defined in that function? Ex.

class Grades {
 public:
 Grades(int score);
 int getScore();
 private:
 int m_score;
 };
int Grades::getScore() {
 return m_score;
 }

void main() {
 Grades student1(95), student2(85), student3(45);
 cout << student1.getScore();
 cout << student2.getScore();
 cout << student3.getScore();
} which variable is this referring to</pre>

♦ The compiler generates an *implicit* reference to the object which called the function and passes it into the function as an argument.

♦ Explicitly referencing the object

int Grades::getScore() {
 return this->m_score;
}

The primary purpose of *this* pointer

next

4

- ♦ The *this* pointer is most commonly used when objects need to be linked to other objects
 - class LinkedList {

public:

};

void insert(LinkedList *newNode); private:

```
LinkedList *previous;
LinkedList *next;
```

next currentNode < → newNode < 3 → nextNode (2) previous previous previous

currentNode

previous

next

- \diamond We want to insert a new node into the list after another object with currentObject->insert(newObject);
- \diamond The actual way to achieve the goal is using this pointer

void LinkedList::insert(LinkedList *newNode) { newNode->next = next; // implicitly referring the member of current object **newNode**->**previous** = **this**; // or **next**->**previous** next->previous = newNode; next = newNode;

→ nextNode

previous

next

next

Exploiting Implicit References

- Suppose we want to add a function to class Grades that checks if two objects contain the same score
- ♦ Here is the call in main()

if (grade1.equal(grade2))
 cout << ''same scores'';
else
 cout << ''different scores'';</pre>

♦ Here is the function

bool Grades::equal(Grades &secondScore) {
 return m_score == secondScore.m_score;

Do not ignore implicit dereferencing
 bool Grades::equal(Grades &firstScore, Grades &secondScore) {
 return firstScore.m_score == secondScore.m_score;
 }
 Note how clumsy the call is to this function
 if (grade1.equal(grade1, grade2))
 }
}

Type Conversion Constructor

♦ Suppose we would like to convert raw minutes to Time object

```
class Time {
                                              void Time::normalize() {
public:
                                                m_minutes += m_seconds / 60;
  Time():
                                                m_seconds = m_seconds % 60;
  Time(int hours, int minutes, int seconds);
                                                m_hours += m_minutes / 60;
  Time(int rawMinutes);
                                                m_minutes = m_minutes % 60;
private:
                                                m_hours = m_hours % 24;
  int m_hours;
  int m minutes;
  int m_seconds;
  void normalize();
};
Time::Time(): m_seconds(0), m_minutes(0), m_hours(0) {
Time::Time(int hours, int minutes, int seconds)
  : m_hours(hours), m_minutes(minutes), m_seconds(seconds) {
  normalize();
Time::Time(int rawMinutes): m_seconds(0), m_minutes(rawMinutes), m_hours(0) {
  normalize();
```

Type Conversion Constructor

♦ Usage:

- void main() {
 - int x = 125;
 - **Time object;**
 - object = Time(125); // temporary object, assignment operator
 - object = 125; ←
 - object = x; ←

object = (Time) x;

implicit invocation of type conversion ctor, construct a temporary object, assignment operator

Class Conversion

class Celsius; // forward declaration
class Fahrenheit {
public:

Fahrenheit(int temperature);

Fahrenheit(Celsius &cTemperature);

int getTemperature() const;

void display() const;

private:

};

int m_temperature;/
};

class Celsius {
public:

Celsius(int temperature); Celsius(Fahrenheit &fTemperature); int getTemperature() const; void display() const; private: int m_temperature;

Fahrenheit::Fahrenheit(Celsius &cTemperature) {
 int celsiusTemperature = cTemperature.getTemperature();
 m_temperature = (int)(9.0 * celsiusTemperature / 5 + 32.5);

Usage: Fahrenheit room(75); Celsius zimmer(18); Celsius c_room(room); Fahrenheit f_zimmer(zimmer); room = zimmer;

Static Data Members

\$ Suppose we want to give each object of the Student class a unique ID
\$ Using a global variable is one method
int glDNumber = 0;
class Student {
 public:
 Student();
 int getID() const;
 private:
 int m_id;
 };
\$ The constructor

Student::Student():m_id(gIDNumber++) {

♦ Problems:

- * If other programs manipulate this global variable, the count would be incorrect
- * It would be better if a name like gStudentIDNumber is used

Static Data Members (cont'd)

Better solution with static data member

class Student {
 public:
 Student();
 int getID() const;
 private:
 static int lastIDNumber;
 int m_id;
 };

A class declaration is not a variable, you must define the static variable in the global scope int Student::lastIDNumber = 0;

this can be put anywhere in the program, but it must be in the *.cpp file and only occurs once

♦ The constructor

Student::Student():m_id(lastIDNumber++) {

♦ Also used for specific constant definition. Ex. Integer::INT_MAX

Static Member Functions

♦ A static function can only access static data member

```
class Student {
     public:
       Student();
       int getID() const;
     private:
       static int lastIDNumber;
       int m id;
       static int getNewID();
       static int incrementNewID();
     };
The keyword static is not repeated in the function definition
                                      int Student::incrementNewID() {
     int Student::getNewID() {
        return lastIDNumber;
                                        return lastIDNumber++;
The constructor might take this form
     Student::Student():m_id(getNewID()) {
```

incrementNewID()

 \diamond

 \diamond

15

Static Member Functions (cont'd)

- If the static member function is public, it can be accessed without reference to a particular object, ex.
 Integer::convertFromInt(10);
- Static member function does not have the implicit *this* pointer because it is not invoked with any object.
- Sometimes use static member functions to implement callback functions that do not allow any implicit argument.